

AMENDMENTIN THE CLAIMS:

Claims 1-12 (Withdrawn)

Claim 13 (Original): A process for the development of scalable, high-performance materials, comprising a computer-assisted knowledge cycle that uses at least one of (i) input from existing experimental data; (ii) correlations generated from at least one of experimental, theoretical, and/or modeling findings; and (iii) theoretical and modeling investigations to generate working hypotheses and suggested steps for at least one of experimental investigations and theoretical investigations to guide the search for better materials.

Claim 14 (Original): The process of claim 13 in which the knowledge cycle further comprises the use of kinetic modeling to guide catalyst development.

Claim 15 (Original): The process of claim 13 in which the knowledge cycle further comprises the use of machine learning methods to guide catalyst development.

Claim 16 (Original): The process of claim 13 in which the knowledge cycle further comprises using kinetic Monte-Carlo simulation to screen catalytic surfaces for catalytic performance.

① Claim 17 (New): The process of claim 13 in which the knowledge cycle further comprises:

specifying a reactant set, the reactant set comprising a plurality of chemical substances, each of which may engage in a chemical reaction with one or more other substances in the reactant set;

specifying a plurality of possible products that may result from the reaction of two or more of the substances included in the reactant set;

identifying a reaction mechanism set, the reaction mechanism set comprising a plurality of reaction mechanisms, wherein each reaction mechanism comprises a combination of two or more elementary steps representing the chemical process;

selecting a plurality of catalytic materials, each catalytic material being associated with at least one of the reaction mechanisms in the reaction mechanism set, each catalytic material being further associated with experimental data;

associating a kinetic constant value with each elementary step of each reaction mechanism;

generating a kinetic model associated with each reaction mechanism and each catalytic material; and

screening, via a processing device, the reaction mechanism set by applying a goodness of fit test to the experimental data associated with each catalyst, eliminating the reaction mechanisms having a worst fit, and grouping the remaining reaction mechanisms associated with each catalytic material to provide a first reaction mechanism subset for each catalytic material.

② Claim 18 (New): The process of claim 17, further comprising the steps of:
selecting a performance variable; and
for the reaction mechanisms contained in the first reaction mechanism subset,
identifying one or more associated kinetic parameters to which the performance variable is most sensitive.

③ Claim 19 (New): The process of claim 17, further comprising the steps of:
calculating, using a processing device, a modeled kinetic constant for a plurality
of the elementary steps associated with a plurality of the reaction mechanisms;
screening, via the processing device, the first reaction mechanism subset by
eliminating the reaction mechanisms having associated kinetic constants that least closely relate
to their corresponding modeled kinetic constants; and
associating the remaining reaction mechanisms not eliminated in the second
screening step with a second reaction mechanism subset.

④ Claim 20 (New): The process of claim 19, wherein the calculating step comprises
using molecular modeling investigation to calculate the modeled kinetic constant.

⑤ Claim 21 (New): The process of claim 13, further comprising the steps of:
selecting a performance variable; and
for the reaction mechanisms contained in the second reaction mechanism subset,
identifying one or more associated kinetic parameters to which the performance variable is most sensitive.

⑥ Claim 22 (New): The process of claim 13 in which the knowledge cycle further comprises:

selecting a data set for a set of materials, the data set comprising one or more dependent performance variables for a chemical process and independent variables including, but not limited to, calculated or measured properties of the materials or preparation parameters relating to the materials; and

building a model that correlates the dependent performance variables with one or more of the independent variables;

identifying one or more of the independent variables having values that yield improved values of the dependent performance variables based on the results of the model built in the building step;

generating a next step for one of experimental and theoretical investigations aimed at measuring or calculating the dependent variable associated with the improved values of the independent variables; and

identifying from one of experimental and theoretical investigations one or more new materials that are associated with the values of the one or more independent variables that yield improved values of the dependent variables.


⑧ Claim 23 (New): The process of claim 22 wherein the step of building a model comprises the use of recursive partitioning.

② Claim 24 (New): The process of claim 22 in which one or more dependent performance variables or one or more independent variables are kinetic parameters that have been associated with reaction mechanisms in a reaction mechanism set.

clearing / ← Claim 25 (New): The process of claim 22, further comprising the steps of:
applying a Monte Carlo kinetic simulation to calculate at least one modeled performance parameter for each material included in the material set; and
selecting at least one materials class based on the results of the Monte Carlo simulation.

B2
⑩ Claim 26 (New): The process of claim 22, further comprising the steps of:
selecting a selected reaction mechanism from a reaction mechanism set, wherein each reaction mechanism in the set comprises a combination of two or more elementary steps in a chemical process;
applying a Monte Carlo kinetic simulation to calculate at least one modeled performance parameter for each material identified in the identifying step, wherein the simulation is associated with the selected reaction mechanism; and
selecting at least one materials class based on the results of the Monte Carlo simulation.

⑩ Claim 27 (New): The process of claim 26 wherein each reaction mechanism in the reaction mechanism set has been screened, using a goodness of fit test, to eliminate reaction mechanisms for which experimental data associated with reaction mechanism catalysts has been determined to have a poor fit.

 ⑪ Claim 28 (New): The process of claim 27 wherein each reaction mechanism in the reaction mechanism set has been further screened to eliminate reaction mechanisms having associated kinetic catalysts that least closely relate to corresponding modeled kinetic constants.
